# Introduction to Homotopy Type Theory

Lecture 2: Homotopy levels and equivalences

Fredrik Nordvall Forsberg University of Strathclyde, Glasgow

EUTypes Summer school, Ohrid, 9 August 2018

https://tinyurl.com/hott-ohrid

# Recap of yesterday

Martin-Löf Type Theory: type theory with dependent pair types  $(\Sigma)$ , dependent function types  $(\Pi)$ , a universe of small types, and identity types  $(x =_A y)$ .

Homotopy Type Theory: new perspective on identity types based on intuitions from homotopical models.

Paths between paths: Proving properties of identity types using path induction.

New axioms: function extensionality and the Univalence Axiom.

# The Univalence Axiom

#### Univalence Axiom

The canonical function idtoeqv :  $(A =_{\mathcal{U}} B) \rightarrow (A \simeq B)$  is an equivalence.

Today's goal is to make sense of this axiom, and the notion of equivalence in particular.

## Outline

- 1. Homotopy levels
- 2. Definition and properties of equivalences

## Homotopy *n*-types

A is contractible if we can prove

$$\operatorname{isContr}(A) :\equiv (\Sigma x : A)(\Pi y : A)(x =_A y)$$

A is a proposition (subsingleton) if we can prove

$$isProp(A) :\equiv (\Pi x, y : A)(x =_A y)$$

• A is a set if every type  $x =_A y$  is a proposition:

 $\mathsf{isSet}(A) :\equiv (\Pi x, y : A)(\mathsf{isProp}(x =_A y))$ 

► The type **0** is

#### ► The type **1** is



- The type 0 is a proposition: vacuously all its elements are equal.
- ▶ The type **1** is

► The type **2** is

- The type 0 is a proposition: vacuously all its elements are equal.
- The type 1 is contractible: there is a path from the only element to every element.



- The type 0 is a proposition: vacuously all its elements are equal.
- The type 1 is contractible: there is a path from the only element to every element.
- The type 2 is a set: there are no non-trivial paths between elements.

- The type 0 is a proposition: vacuously all its elements are equal.
- The type 1 is contractible: there is a path from the only element to every element.
- The type 2 is a set: there are no non-trivial paths between elements.

Theorem (exercise)

 $\mathsf{isContr}(A) \to (A \to \mathsf{isContr}(A)) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{isProp}(A) \to \mathsf{isSet}(A)$ 

Proving that types are sets

Proving that types are sets

Definition A type A is decidable if there is a term  $dec_A : A + \neg A$ .

**Note:**  $\neg A :\equiv A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ .

By normalising  $dec_A$ , we find out if A holds or not.

Proving that types are sets

Definition A type A is decidable if there is a term  $dec_A : A + \neg A$ .

**Note:**  $\neg A :\equiv A \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ .

By normalising  $dec_A$ , we find out if A holds or not.

#### Definition

A type A is has decidable equality if all path spaces  $x =_A y$  are decidable, i.e. there is a term

$$\mathsf{decEq}_A: (\Pi x, y : A)\big((x =_A y) + \neg (x =_A y)\big)$$

# Hedberg's Theorem

## Theorem ([Hedberg 1998])

If a type A has decidable equality, then it is a set.

#### Examples

- ▶ isSet(**2**)
- ▶ isSet(ℕ)
- ▶  $isSet(A) \rightarrow isSet(List A)$



Properties of contractible types

## Theorem ("Vacuum cord principle")

Singletons are contractible: for a : A, isContr $((\Sigma x : A)(x = a))$ .

# Properties of contractible types

## Theorem ("Vacuum cord principle")

Singletons are contractible: for a : A, isContr $((\Sigma x : A)(x = a))$ .

## Theorem

- A is contractible if and only if  $A \simeq \mathbf{1}$ .
- If A is contractible then ((Σx : A)B(x)) ≃ B(x<sub>0</sub>), where x<sub>0</sub> is the centre of contraction.
- If B(x) is contractible for all x : A then  $((\Sigma x : A)B(x)) \simeq A$ .

# Equivalences

# What is an equivalence?

Naive first guess:

#### Definition

 $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a quasi-equivalence if we can prove

$$qinv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)((\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x) \times (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$

# What is an equivalence?

Naive first guess:

#### Definition

 $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a quasi-equivalence if we can prove

$$qinv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)((\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x) \times (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$

**Problem:** qinv(f) is not always a proposition.

# What is an equivalence?

Naive first guess:

#### Definition

 $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a quasi-equivalence if we can prove

$$qinv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)((\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x) \times (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$

**Problem:** qinv(f) is not always a proposition.

Surprisingly(?), this could have disastrous consequences.

Definition  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an equivalence if we can prove

$$isEquiv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)$$
$$(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x))$$
$$(\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$
$$(\Pi x : A)(ap_f(\eta x) = \epsilon(f x))$$

Quasi-equivalence proofs  $(g, \eta, \epsilon)$  with a coherence condition.

We define  $A \simeq B :\equiv (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B)(isEquiv(f))$ .

Definition  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an equivalence if we can prove

$$isEquiv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)$$
$$(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x))$$
$$(\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$
$$(\Pi x : A)(ap_f(\eta x) = \epsilon(f x))$$

Quasi-equivalence proofs  $(g, \eta, \epsilon)$  with a coherence condition.

We define  $A \simeq B :\equiv (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B)(isEquiv(f))$ .

**Good news:** qinv(f)  $\rightarrow$  isEquiv(f).

Definition  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an equivalence if we can prove

$$isEquiv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)$$
$$(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x))$$
$$(\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$
$$(\Pi x : A)(ap_f(\eta x) = \epsilon(f x))$$

Quasi-equivalence proofs  $(g, \eta, \epsilon)$  with a coherence condition.

We define  $A \simeq B :\equiv (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B)(isEquiv(f))$ .

**Good news:** qinv(f)  $\leftrightarrow$  isEquiv(f).

**Definition**  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an equivalence if we can prove

$$isEquiv(f) :\equiv (\Sigma g : B \to A)$$
$$(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g(f x) =_A x))$$
$$(\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : B)(f(g y) =_B y))$$
$$(\Pi x : A)(ap_f(\eta x) = \epsilon(f x))$$

Quasi-equivalence proofs  $(g, \eta, \epsilon)$  with a coherence condition.

We define  $A \simeq B :\equiv (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B)(isEquiv(f))$ .

**Good news:** qinv(f)  $\leftrightarrow$  isEquiv(f).

**Better news:** isEquiv(f) is always a proposition.

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Trick: By Univalence,  $(f, e) : A \simeq B$  is of the form idtoeqv(p) for some p : A = B. By path induction, p is  $refl_A$ , and f is  $id_A$ .

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Trick: By Univalence,  $(f, e) : A \simeq B$  is of the form idtoeqv(p) for some p : A = B. By path induction, p is  $refl_A$ , and f is  $id_A$ .

Our goal reduces to isContr(isEquiv(id<sub>A</sub>)), or isEquiv(id<sub>A</sub>)  $\simeq 1$ .

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Trick: By Univalence,  $(f, e) : A \simeq B$  is of the form idtoeqv(p) for some p : A = B. By path induction, p is  $refl_A$ , and f is  $id_A$ .

Our goal reduces to isContr(isEquiv(id\_A)), or isEquiv(id\_A)  $\simeq 1$ .

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{isEquiv}(\mathsf{id}_A) &\equiv (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g \, x =_A x)) \\ & (\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : A)(g \, y =_A y))(\Pi x : A)(\mathsf{ap}_{\mathsf{id}}(\eta \, x) = \epsilon \, x) \end{aligned}$ 

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Trick: By Univalence,  $(f, e) : A \simeq B$  is of the form idtoeqv(p) for some p : A = B. By path induction, p is  $refl_A$ , and f is  $id_A$ .

 $\label{eq:our_scalar} \text{Our goal reduces to isContr(isEquiv(id_{\mathcal{A}})), or isEquiv(id_{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq 1.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{isEquiv}(\mathsf{id}_A) &\equiv (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g \, x =_A x)) \\ & (\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : A)(g \, y =_A y))(\Pi x : A)(\mathsf{ap}_{\mathsf{id}}(\eta \, x) = \epsilon \, x) \\ &\simeq (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : g = \mathsf{id}_A)(\Sigma \epsilon : g = \mathsf{id}_A)(\eta = \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Trick: By Univalence,  $(f, e) : A \simeq B$  is of the form idtoeqv(p) for some p : A = B. By path induction, p is  $refl_A$ , and f is  $id_A$ .

 $\label{eq:our_scalar} \text{Our goal reduces to isContr(isEquiv(id_{\mathcal{A}})), or isEquiv(id_{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq 1.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{isEquiv}(\mathsf{id}_A) &\equiv (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g \, x =_A x)) \\ & (\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : A)(g \, y =_A y))(\Pi x : A)(\mathsf{ap}_{\mathsf{id}}(\eta \, x) = \epsilon \, x) \\ &\simeq (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : g = \mathsf{id}_A)(\Sigma \epsilon : g = \mathsf{id}_A)(\eta = \epsilon) \\ &\simeq (\Sigma \epsilon : \mathsf{id}_A = \mathsf{id}_A)(\mathsf{refl} = \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have isProp(isEquiv(f)).

Proof.

It suffices to show isContr(isEquiv(f)) assuming e : isEquiv(f).

Trick: By Univalence,  $(f, e) : A \simeq B$  is of the form idtoeqv(p) for some p : A = B. By path induction, p is  $refl_A$ , and f is  $id_A$ .

 $\label{eq:our_scalar} \text{Our goal reduces to isContr(isEquiv(id_{\mathcal{A}})), or isEquiv(id_{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq 1.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{isEquiv}(\mathsf{id}_A) &\equiv (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : (\Pi x : A)(g \, x =_A x)) \\ & (\Sigma \epsilon : (\Pi y : A)(g \, y =_A y))(\Pi x : A)(\mathsf{ap}_{\mathsf{id}}(\eta \, x) = \epsilon \, x) \\ &\simeq (\Sigma g : A \to A)(\Sigma \eta : g = \mathsf{id}_A)(\Sigma \epsilon : g = \mathsf{id}_A)(\eta = \epsilon) \\ &\simeq (\Sigma \epsilon : \mathsf{id}_A = \mathsf{id}_A)(\mathsf{refl} = \epsilon) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem

Univalence formulated with quasi-inverses is false, i.e. the function

$$\mathsf{idtoqinv}: A =_\mathcal{U} B \to (\Sigma f: A \to B)(\mathsf{qinv}(f))$$

is not a quasi-equivalence.

#### Theorem

Univalence formulated with quasi-inverses is false, i.e. the function

$$\mathsf{idtoqinv}: A =_\mathcal{U} B \to (\Sigma f: A \to B)(\mathsf{qinv}(f))$$

is not a quasi-equivalence.

#### Proof.

If idtoqinv is a quasi-equivalence, then every quasi-equivalence  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is coherent:

#### Theorem

Univalence formulated with quasi-inverses is false, i.e. the function

$$\mathsf{idtoqinv}: A =_{\mathcal{U}} B \to (\Sigma f : A \to B)(\mathsf{qinv}(f))$$

is not a quasi-equivalence.

#### Proof.

If idtoqinv is a quasi-equivalence, then every quasi-equivalence  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is coherent:

By qinv-univalence, we may assume f is  $id_A$ , which is coherent.

In particular, every  $(id_A, id_A, \eta, \epsilon)$  for any  $\eta, \epsilon : (\Pi x : A)(x =_A x)$  is coherent, i.e.  $\eta = \epsilon$ .

#### Theorem

Univalence formulated with quasi-inverses is false, i.e. the function

$$\mathsf{idtoqinv}: A =_{\mathcal{U}} B \to (\Sigma f : A \to B)(\mathsf{qinv}(f))$$

is not a quasi-equivalence.

#### Proof.

If idtoqinv is a quasi-equivalence, then every quasi-equivalence  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is coherent:

By qinv-univalence, we may assume f is  $id_A$ , which is coherent.

In particular, every  $(id_A, id_A, \eta, \epsilon)$  for any  $\eta, \epsilon : (\Pi x : A)(x =_A x)$  is coherent, i.e.  $\eta = \epsilon$ .

But there are A such that  $(\Pi x : A)(x =_A x)$  is not a proposition (Sunday); a contradiction.

# Morals of the story

- Univalence states that we may treat every equivalence as the identity equivalence.
- This is consistent because there are no properties to distinguish the identity equivalence from any other — not true for quasi-equivalences.

# Morals of the story

- Univalence states that we may treat every equivalence as the identity equivalence.
- This is consistent because there are no properties to distinguish the identity equivalence from any other — not true for quasi-equivalences.
- Shows the importance of constructing a model to ensure consistency...

- If isProp(P) and isProp(Q) and P ↔ Q, then P ≃ Q (hence P =<sub>U</sub> Q by Univalence).
- If isSet(A) and isSet(B) and there is a quasi-equivalence  $A \rightarrow B$ , then  $A \simeq B$ .

# Equivalent formulations of equivalences

That  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an equivalence can be defined in different ways:

- Voevodsky: (Πy : B)(isContr((Σx : A)(f x =<sub>B</sub> y))) The preimage of each y is a singleton
- ► Joyal:  $(\Sigma g, h : B \to A)((g \circ f = id) \times (f \circ h = id))$ The function f has both a left inverse g and a right inverse h
- Lumsdaine:  $(A \simeq B)$  is equivalent to the type

$$\begin{split} (\Sigma R : A \to B \to \mathcal{U})((\Pi a : A)(\mathsf{isContr}((\Sigma b : B)R \ a \ b)) \times \\ (\Pi b : B)(\mathsf{isContr}((\Sigma a : A)R \ a \ b))) \end{split}$$

There exists a "bi-functional" relation R



See lec2-exercises.agda.

# Summary

Hierarchy of homotopy levels:

$$\mathsf{isContr}(A) \to \mathsf{isProp}(A) \to \mathsf{isSet}(A) \to \ldots$$

Coherent notion of equivalence

$$A \simeq B :\equiv (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B)(\mathsf{isEquiv}(f))$$

Properties:

- $qinv(f) \rightarrow isEquiv(f)$
- isEquiv $(f) \rightarrow qinv(f)$
- isProp(isEquiv(f))

Proving equivalences by chaining together basic ones.

Coming up on Saturday:

Logic in HoTT: Propositions-as-some-types

## References

#### M. Hedberg

A coherence theorem for Martin-Löf's type theory Journal of Functional Programming, 413–436, 1998

 N. Kraus, M. Escardó, T. Coquand and T. Altenkirch Generalizations of Hedberg's Theorem Typed Lambda Calculi and Applications, pp. 173–188, 2013