

CS208 (Semester 1) Week 9 : Equality and Arithmetic

Dr. Robert Atkey

Computer & Information Sciences

Equality and Arithmetic, Part 1

Rules for Equality

What is Equality?

$$t_1 = t_2$$

What is Equality?

Some properties:

1. *Reflexivity*: for all x , $x = x$
2. *Symmetry*: for all x and y , if $x = y$ then $y = x$
3. *Transitivity*: for all x , y and z , if $x = y$ and $y = z$, then $x = z$

What is Equality?

Some properties:

1. *Reflexivity*: for all x , $x = x$
2. *Symmetry*: for all x and y , if $x = y$ then $y = x$
3. *Transitivity*: for all x , y and z , if $x = y$ and $y = z$, then $x = z$

Any binary relation that satisfies these properties is called an *equivalence relation*.

What is Equality?

The **special** property of equality is the following:

If $s = t$, then everything that is true about s is true about t .

What is Equality?

The **special** property of equality is the following:

If $s = t$, then everything that is true about s is true about t .

(and vice versa. but do we need to say this?)

What is Equality?

The **special** property of equality is the following:

If $s = t$, then everything that is true about s is true about t .

(and vice versa. but do we need to say this?)

Gottfried Leibniz (co-inventor of Calculus) took this as the *definition* of equality.

What is Equality?

With more symbols:

If $t_1 = t_2$, then for all P , if $P[x \mapsto t_1]$ then $P[x \mapsto t_2]$

What is Equality?

All we will need is:

1. Reflexivity: for every term t , $t = t$
2. Substitution: $t_1 = t_2$ and $P[x \mapsto t_1]$ implies $P[x \mapsto t_2]$

Amazingly, this is enough!

Symmetry

To prove that $x = y$ implies $y = x$:

1. We know that $x = x$ by reflexivity
2. So we use our assumption to replace the first x by y to get $y = x$.

Transitivity

To prove that $x = y$ and $y = z$ implies $x = z$:

1. Substitute the second assumption in the first to get $x = z$.

Rules for Equality: Introduction

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash t = t} \text{ REFLEXIVITY}$$

Every term is equal to itself.

Rules for Equality: Elimination

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[x \mapsto t_2]}{\Gamma [t_1 = t_2] \vdash P[x \mapsto t_1]} \text{ SUBST}$$

If we know that $t_1 = t_2$ then we can replace t_1 with t_2 in the goal. This is substitution backwards: if we know $P[x \mapsto t_2]$ and $t_1 = t_2$, then we know $P[x \mapsto t_1]$.

Example: Symmetry

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \frac{}{x, y, x = y \vdash y = y} \text{ REFLEXIVITY} \\
 \frac{}{x, y, x = y [x = y] \vdash y = x} \text{ SUBST} \\
 \frac{}{x, y, x = y \vdash y = x} \text{ USE} \\
 \frac{}{x, y \vdash x = y \rightarrow y = x} \text{ INTRODUCE} \\
 \frac{}{x \vdash \forall y. x = y \rightarrow y = x} \text{ INTRODUCE} \\
 \frac{}{\vdash \forall x. \forall y. x = y \rightarrow y = x} \text{ INTRODUCE}
 \end{array}$$

Example: Transitivity

$x, y, z, x = y, y = z [y = z] \vdash y = z$	DONE
$x, y, z, x = y, y = z \vdash y = z$	USE
$x, y, z, x = y, y = z [x = y] \vdash x = z$	SUBST
$x, y, z, x = y, y = z \vdash x = z$	USE
$x, y, z, x = y \vdash y = z \rightarrow x = z$	INTRODUCE
$x, y, z \vdash x = y \rightarrow y = z \rightarrow x = z$	INTRODUCE
$x, y \vdash \forall z. x = y \rightarrow y = z \rightarrow x = z$	INTRODUCE
$x \vdash \forall y. \forall z. x = y \rightarrow y = z \rightarrow x = z$	INTRODUCE
$\vdash \forall x. \forall y. \forall z. x = y \rightarrow y = z \rightarrow x = z$	INTRODUCE

Rewriting

1. `SUBST` can be quite tricky to use because we have to give a formula P such that $P[x \mapsto t_1]$ is the one we start with, and $P[x \mapsto t_2]$ is the one we end up with.
2. Usually, we want to replace *every* occurrence of t_1 with t_2 . We write this as:

$$P\{t_1 \mapsto t_2\}$$

Rewriting

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P\{t_1 \mapsto t_2\}}{\Gamma [t_1 = t_2] \vdash P} \text{ REWRITE} \rightarrow$$

If we have $t_1 = t_2$ then we can replace t_1 with t_2 everywhere.

Rewriting

For convenience:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P\{t_2 \mapsto t_1\}}{\Gamma [t_1 = t_2] \vdash P} \text{REWRITE} \leftarrow$$

If we have $t_1 = t_2$ then we can replace t_1 with t_2 everywhere.

Summary

Equality is characterised by two principles:

1. Everything is equal to itself (*reflexivity*)
2. If $s = t$, then everything that is true about s is true about t .

Equality and Arithmetic, Part 2

Arithmetic and Induction

Arithmetic

One thing we might want to do with Predicate Logic is reason about numbers:

- ▶ $\forall x. \forall y. x + y = y + x$
- ▶ $\forall x. \forall y. \forall z. x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$
- ▶ $\forall x. \forall y. \forall z. x \times (y + z) = (x \times y) + (x \times z)$
- ▶ $\forall n. n > 2 \rightarrow \neg(\exists x. \exists y. \exists z. x^n + y^n = z^n)$

Representation of Numbers

To make thing easier, we use a *unary* representation of numbers:

A number is either:

1. 0 or
2. $S(n)$, where n is a number.

For example, 5 is represented as $S(S(S(S(S(0))))))$.

This is *massively* inefficient, but makes reasoning easier.

Axioms

We can now write down some plausible axioms for arithmetic.

Axiom 1

$$\forall x. \neg(0 = S(x))$$

0 is not the successor of any number.

Axiom 2

$$\forall x. \forall y. S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y$$

If two successors are equal, the things they are successors of must be equal.

This is a way of saying “successor goes on forever”. If we had a loop such that $S(x)$ was equal to some number y less than x , then this axiom would not hold.

Axioms 3 and 4

$$\forall x. \text{add}(0, x) = x$$

$$\forall x. \forall y. \text{add}(S(x), y) = S(\text{add}(x, y))$$

1. Adding 0 to x gives x , i.e., $0 + x = x$
2. $(1 + x) + y = 1 + (x + y)$.

These axioms define addition.

Axioms 5 and 6

$$\forall x. \text{mul}(0, x) = 0$$

$$\forall x. \forall y. \text{mul}(S(x), y) = \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$$

1. $0 \times x = 0$
2. $(1 + x) \times y = y + (x \times y)$

These axioms define multiplication.

Peano's Axioms (not all of them)

1. $\forall x. \neg(0 = S(x))$
2. $\forall x. \forall y. S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y$
3. $\forall x. \text{add}(0, x) = x$
4. $\forall x. \forall y. \text{add}(S(x), y) = S(\text{add}(x, y))$
5. $\forall x. \text{mul}(0, x) = 0$
6. $\forall x. \forall y. \text{mul}(S(x), y) = \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

(named after Guiseppe Peano)

What can we prove?

Can do computation:

$$\text{add}(S(S(0)), S(S(S(0)))) = S(S(S(S(S(0)))))$$

$$(2+3=5)$$

But can't prove anything for all numbers:

$$\forall x. \forall y. x + y = y + x$$

is not provable yet.

Induction

To prove things for all numbers, we use the principle of *induction*:

To prove for all x , $P(x)$, we must:

- ▶ Prove $P(0)$
- ▶ For all n , prove that $P(n)$ implies $P(S(n))$

(this is the main reason we use the unary representation)

The assumption $P(n)$ in part 2 is called the *induction hypothesis*.

Example (informal)

To prove $\text{add}(x, 0) = x$ by induction on x :

1. Need to prove that $\text{add}(0, 0) = 0$, which is axiom 3.
2. Need to prove if $\text{add}(n, 0) = n$, then $\text{add}(S(n), 0) = S(n)$:
 - 2.1 We have $\text{add}(S(n), 0) = S(\text{add}(n, 0))$ by axiom 4; and
 - 2.2 so $S(\text{add}(n, 0)) = S(n)$ by the induction hypothesis

Induction as a Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P[x \mapsto 0] \quad \Gamma, x_1, P[x \mapsto x_1] \vdash P[x \mapsto S(x_1)]}{\Gamma \vdash P} \text{INDUCTION}$$

where x is declared in Γ .

Peano's Axioms

1. $\forall x. \neg(0 = S(x))$

2. $\forall x. \forall y. S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y$

3. $\forall x. \text{add}(0, x) = x$

4. $\forall x. \forall y. \text{add}(S(x), y) = S(\text{add}(x, y))$

5. $\forall x. \text{mul}(0, x) = 0$

6. $\forall x. \forall y. \text{mul}(S(x), y) = \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

+ induction

Summary

We can reason about arithmetic using Peano's Axioms.

- ▶ 6 axioms defining 0 , S , add and mul
- ▶ and induction

This system is surprisingly powerful.

Summary

We can reason about arithmetic using Peano's Axioms.

- ▶ 6 axioms defining 0 , S , add and mul
- ▶ and induction

This system is surprisingly powerful.

In fact, it is *too* powerful, as we shall see next week.