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Abstract. A graph \(G = (V, E)\) is word-representable if there exists a word \(w\) over the alphabet \(V\) such that letters \(x\) and \(y\) alternate in \(w\) if and only if \((x, y) \in E\). A triangular grid graph is a subgraph of a tiling of the plane with equilateral triangles defined by a finite number of triangles, called cells. A subdivision of a triangular grid graph is replacing some of its cells by plane copies of the complete graph \(K_4\).

Inspired by a recent elegant result of Akrobotu et al., who classified word-representable triangulations of grid graphs related to convex polyominoes, we characterize word-representable subdivisions of triangular grid graphs. A key role in the characterization is played by smart orientations introduced by us in this paper. As a corollary to our main result, we obtain that any subdivision of boundary triangles in the Sierpiński gasket graph is word-representable.
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1 Introduction

Let \(G = (V, E)\) be a simple (i.e. without loops and multiple edges) undirected graph with the vertex set \(V\) and the edge set \(E\). We say that \(G\) is word-representable if there exists a word \(w\) over the alphabet \(V\) such that letters \(x\) and \(y\) alternate in \(w\) if and only if \((x, y) \in E\) for any \(x \neq y\).

The notion of word-representable graphs has its roots in algebra, where a prototype of these graphs was used by Kitaev and Seif to study the growth of the free spectrum of the well-known Perkins semigroup [10].
Recently, a number of (fundamental) results on word-representable graphs were obtained in the literature; for example, see [1], [3], [5], [7], [9], [11], and [12]. In particular, Halldórsson et al. [7] have shown that a graph is word-representable if and only if it admits a semi-transitive orientation (to be defined in Section 2), which, among other important corollaries, implies that all 3-colorable graphs are word-representable. The theory of word-representable graphs is the main subject of the upcoming book [8].

The triangular tiling graph $T^\infty$ (i.e., the two-dimensional triangular grid) is the Archimedean tiling $3^6$ is more common introduced in [13] and [4]. By a triangular grid graph $G$ in this paper we mean a graph obtained from $T^\infty$ as follows. Specify a number of triangles, called cells, in $T^\infty$. The edges of $G$ are then all the edges surrounding the specified cells, while the vertices of $G$ are the endpoints of the edges (defined by intersecting lines in $T^\infty$). We say that the specified cells, along with any other cell whose all edges are from $G$, belong to $G$. Any triangular grid graph is 3-colorable, and thus it is word-representable [7]. We consider non-3-colorable graphs obtained from triangular grid graphs by applying the operation of subdivision which is defined in the sequel.

Subdividing a cell of a triangular grid graph means subdividing it into three parts by placing a vertex in the center of the cell and making it adjacent to the three cell’s vertices. A subdivision of a triangular grid graph is obtained by subdividing a number of specified cells in $G$.

Recently, Akrobotu at al. [1] proved that a triangulation of the graph $G$ associated with a convex polyomino is word-representable if and only if $G$ is 3-colorable. Inspired by this elegant result, in the paper in hands, we characterized word-representable subdivisions of triangular grid graphs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some necessary definitions, notation and known results are given. In Section 3 we discuss the minimal non-word-representable subdivision of a triangular grid graph, i.e. the graph, which is an induced subgraph of any non-word-representable subdivision. In Section 4 we state and prove our main result (Theorem 4.1) saying that a subdivision of a triangular grid graph is word-representable if and only if it has no interior cell subdivided. Theorem 4.1 is proved using the notion of a smart (semi-transitive) orientation introduced in this paper. Finally, in Section 5 we apply our main result to subdivisions of triangular grid graphs having equilateral triangle shape and subdivisions of the Sierpiński gasket graph.
2 Definitions, notation, and known results

Suppose that \( w \) is a word and \( x \) and \( y \) are two distinct letters in \( w \). We say that \( x \) and \( y \) alternate in \( w \) if the deletion of all other letters from the word \( w \) results in either \( xyxy \cdots \) or \( yxyx \cdots \).

A graph \( G = (V, E) \) is word-representable if there exists a word \( w \) over the alphabet \( V \) such that letters \( x \) and \( y \) alternate in \( w \) if and only if \( (x, y) \in E \) for each \( x \neq y \). We say that \( w \) represents \( G \), and such a word \( w \) is called a word-representant for \( G \). For example, if the word \( w = 134231241 \) then the subword induced with letters 1 and 2 is 12121, hence letters 1 and 2 are alternating in \( w \), and thus the respective nodes are connected in \( G \). On the other hand, the letters 1 and 3 are not alternating in \( w \), because removing all other letters we obtain 1331; thus, 1 and 3 are not connected in \( G \). Figure 2.1 shows the graph represented by \( w \).

If each letter appears exactly \( k \) times in a word-representant of a graph, the word is \( k \)-uniform and the graph is said to be \( k \)-word-representable. For example, the word \( w' = 13423124 \) is also a word-representant for the graph shown in Figure 2.1, so the graph is 2-word-representable. The following theorem establishes equivalence of the notions of word-representability and uniform word-representability.

**Theorem 2.1.** ([9]) A graph \( G \) is word-representable if and only if there exists \( k \) such that \( G \) is \( k \)-word-representable.

Next, we define key objects of our interest including semi-transitive orientations, triangular grid graphs and the Sierpiński gasket graph. For graph-theoretic terminology not defined in this paper, the reader is referred to [2].

### 2.1 Semi-transitive orientations

A directed graph (digraph) is semi-transitive if it is acyclic, and for any directed path \( v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_k \) with \( v_i \in V \) for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), either

- there is no edge \( v_1 \rightarrow v_k \), or
- the edge \( v_1 \rightarrow v_k \) is present and there are edges \( v_i \rightarrow v_j \) for all \( 1 \leq i < j \leq k \). That is, in this case, the (acyclic) subgraph induced by the vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \) is transitive.

We call such an orientation a semi-transitive orientation.

We can alternatively define semi-transitive orientations in terms of induced subgraphs. A semi-cycle is the directed acyclic graph obtained by
reversing the direction of one arc of a directed cycle. An acyclic digraph is
a shortcut if it is induced by the vertices of a semi-cycle and contains a pair
of non-adjacent vertices. Thus, a digraph on the vertex set \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \) is a
shortcut if it contains a directed path \( v_1 \to v_2 \to \cdots \to v_k \), the arc \( v_1 \to v_k \),
and it is missing an arc \( v_i \to v_j \) for some \( 1 \leq i < j \leq k \); in particular, we
must have \( k \geq 4 \), so that any shortcut is on at least four vertices. Slightly
abusing the terminology, in this paper we refer to the arc \( v_1 \to v_k \) in the last
definition as a shortcut (a more appropriate name for this would be shortcut
arc). Figure 2.2 gives examples of shortcuts, where the edges \( 1 \to 4, 2 \to 5 \)
and \( 3 \to 6 \) are missing, and hence \( 1 \to 5, 1 \to 6 \) and \( 2 \to 6 \) are shortcuts.

Thus, an orientation of a graph is semi-transitive if it is acyclic and con-
tains no shortcuts.

Figure 2.2: An example of a shortcut

Halldórsson et al. [7] proved the following theorem that characterizes
word-representable graphs in terms of graph orientations.

**Theorem 2.2.** ([5]) A graph is word-representable if and only if it admits a
semi-transitive orientation.

An immediate corollary to Theorem 2.2 is the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** ([5]) 3-colorable graphs are word-representable.

### 2.2 Triangular grid graphs

The infinite graph \( T^\infty \) associated with the two-dimensional triangular grid
(also known as the triangular tiling graph, see [13] and [4]) is a graph drawn
in the plane with straight-line edges and defined as follows.

The vertices of \( T^\infty \) are represented by a linear combination \( xp + yq \) of the
two vectors \( p = (1, 0) \) and \( q = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2) \) with integers \( x \) and \( y \). Thus, we
may identify the vertices of $T^\infty$ with pairs $(x, y)$ of integers, and thereby the vertices of $T^\infty$ are points with Cartesian coordinates $(x + y/2, y\sqrt{3}/2)$. Two vertices of $T$ are adjacent if and only if the Euclidean distance between them is equal to 1 (see Figure 2.3). A line $\ell$ containing an edge of $T^\infty$ is called a grid line. Note that the degree of any vertex of $T$ is equal to 6. We refer to the triangular faces of $T^\infty$ as cells.

A triangular grid graph is a finite subgraph of $T^\infty$, which is formed by all edges bounding finitely many cells. Note that in our definition, a triangular grid graph does not have to be an induced subgraph of $T^\infty$. It is easy to see that $T^\infty$ is 3-colorable, and thus any triangular grid graph is 3-colorable. Therefore, triangular grid graphs are word-representable by Theorem 2.3.

![Figure 2.3: A fragment of the graph $T^\infty$.](image)

The operation of subdivision of a cell is putting a new vertex inside the cell and making it to be adjacent to every vertex of the cell. Equivalently, subdivision of a cell is replacing the cell (which is the complete graph $K_3$) by a plane version of the complete graph $K_4$. A subdivision of a set $S$ of cells of a triangular grid graph $G$ is a graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each cell in $S$. The set $S$ of subdivided cells is called a subdivided set. For example, Figure 2.4 shows $K_4$, the subdivision of a cell, and $A'$, a subdivision of $A$.

If a subdivision of $G$ results in a word-representable graph, then the subdivision is called a word-representable subdivision. Also, we say that a word-representable subdivision of a triangular grid graph $G$ is maximal if subdividing any other cell results in a non-word-representable graph.

![Figure 2.4: Examples of subdivisions: $K_4$ is the subdivision of a cell, and $A'$ is a subdivision of $A$.](image)

An edge of a triangular grid graph $G$ shared with a cell in $T^\infty$ that does
not belong to $G$ is called a **boundary edge**. Recall that a cell belongs to $G$ if and only if all of its edges belong to $G$. A non-boundary edge belonging to $G$ is called an **interior edge**. A cell in $G$ that is incident to at least one boundary edge is called a **boundary cell**. A non-boundary cell in $G$ is called an **interior cell**. The boundary edges in the graphs $H$ and $K$ in Figure 2.5 are in bold.

![Graphs H and K](image)

Figure 2.5: Graphs $H$ and $K$, where boundary edges are in bold.

A subdivision of a triangular grid graph that involves subdivision of just boundary cells is called a **boundary subdivision**. A boundary edge parallel to the edge $(1, 2)$ (resp., $(2, 3)$, $(3, 4)$, $(4, 5)$, $(5, 6)$ and $(1, 6)$) in the graph $H$ in Figure 2.5 and having the same layout of the boundary cell incident to it, is of type $S$ (resp., $SE$, $NE$, $N$, $NW$ and $SW$), which stands for “South” (resp., “South-East”, “North-East”, “North”, “North-West” and “South-West”). For example, the boundary edges of the graph $K$ in Figure 2.5 $(1, 2)$ and $(1, 9)$ are of type $SW$, while the boundary edges $(3, 5)$, $(7, 6)$ and $(8, 9)$ are of type $NW$. The **property set** of a boundary cell is the set of types of boundary edges incident to the cell. For example, in the graph $K$ in Figure 2.5, the types of the cells $123$ and $179$ are $\{N, SW, SE\}$ and $\{SW, SE\}$, respectively.

### 2.3 Sierpiński gasket graph

The two-dimensional **Sierpiński gasket graph**, a lattice version of the **Sierpiński gasket**, also known as **Sierpiński triangle**, is one of the most studied self-similar fractal-like graphs. The construction of this graph, denoted by $SG(n)$ for initial stages is shown in Figure 2.6. At stage $n = 0$, it is an equilateral triangle, i.e. a cell in $T^\infty$, while a stage $n+1$ giving $SG(n+1)$ is obtained by the juxtaposition of three graphs $SG(n)$ constructed on stage $n$. It is not difficult to see that $SG(n)$ is a triangular grid graph and it has $\frac{3}{2}(3^n + 1)$ vertices and $3^{n+1}$ edges.
Figure 2.6: The first four stages corresponding to \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) in construction of the two-dimensional Sierpiński gasket graph \( SG(n) \).

3 The minimal non-word-representable subdivisions of a triangular grid graph

The following theorem can be proved by showing that the graph in question does not accept a semi-transitive orientation, which requires considering several cases and subcases, and then applying Theorem 2.2. However, we provide a combinatorics on words type of proof that is essentially the same as proving in [6] that the graph co-\((T_2)\) is non-word-representable.

Figure 3.7: A non-word-representable subdivision \( A'' \) of the triangular grid graph \( A \) shown in Figure 2.4.

**Theorem 3.1.** The graph \( A'' \) in Figure 3.7 is non-word-representable.

**Proof.** Assume that the graph \( A'' \) is word-representable. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, it is \( k \)-word-representable for some \( k \). Let \( w \) be a \( k \)-uniform word representing \( A'' \), and let \( x^i \) denote the \( i \)-th occurrence (from left to right) of a letter \( x \) in \( w \).

The vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 form a clique; so their appearances \( 1^i, 2^i, 3^i, 4^i \) in \( W \) must be in the same order for each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). By symmetry and taking
into account that a cyclic shift of \( w \) represents the same graph (see [9]), without loss of generality, we may assume that the order of occurrences of the four letters is 1234. Now let \( I_i \) be the \([2^i, 4^i]\)-interval in \( w \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), which is all letters in \( w \) between \( 2^i \) and \( 4^i \). Then there are two possible cases.

i. \( 7 \in I_j \) for some \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \). Since \( \{2, 4, 7\} \) form a clique, 7 must be inside each of the intervals \( I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k \). But then 1 and 7 are alternating in \( w \), hence they are adjacent in \( A'' \), a contradiction.

ii. \( 7 \notin I_j \) for every \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). Again, since 7 is adjacent to both 2 and 4, each pair of consecutive intervals \( I_j, I_{j+1} \) must be separated by a single 7. But then 7 is adjacent to 3, a contradiction.

We are done.

Remark 3.2. Note that the nodes 5 and 6 do not appear in the proof of Theorem 3.1, which may look as exactly the same arguments would prove that the graph \( G' \) obtained by removing nodes 5 and 6 is non-word-representable, which is not the case. In fact, our proof of Theorem 3.1 cannot be applied to \( G' \) since this graph has no symmetry, which was used by us.

4 Subdivisions of triangular grid graphs of general shape

By Theorem 3.1, taking into account the hereditary nature of word-representable graphs, if \( A'' \) is an induced subgraph of a graph \( G \) then \( G \) is non-word-representable. The following theorem shows that the presence of \( A'' \) as an induced subgraph is necessary and sufficient condition for a subdivision of a triangular grid graph to be non-word-representable. Thus, \( A'' \) is the minimal non-word-representable subdivision for all triangular grid graphs, i.e. it is an induced subgraph of any non-word-representable subdivision of a triangular grid graph. On the other hand, there is the unique maximal word-representable subdivision for any triangular grid graph \( G \), which we call the maximum subdivision of \( G \). This subdivision is obtained by subdividing all boundary cells of \( G \).

Our main result in this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. A subdivision of a triangular grid graph \( G \) is word-representable if and only if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to \( A'' \), that is, \( G \) has no subdivided interior cell.

Proving the following proposition gave us an idea how to prove Theorem 4.1 via a special type of orientations.
Proposition 4.2. The graph obtained by subdividing all the cells of $H$ (shown in Figure 2.5) is word-representable.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the orientation of $H$ shown in Figure 4.8 is semi-transitive, which can be done by direct inspection checking that no arc can be a shortcut or be involved in a directed cycle. 

Figure 4.8: The semi-transitive orientation of the maximum subdivision of the graph $H$, and six orientations of the subdivided cells.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we will describe an orientation of a subdivision of a triangular grid graph and then prove that this orientation, already used by us in Proposition 4.2, is semi-transitive. First, define a correspondence between the types of boundary edges and the types of orientations of subdivided cells shown in Figure 4.8 as following: NW (respectively, NE, S, SE, SW, N) corresponds to $A$ (respectively, $B$, $C$, $a$, $b$, $c$).

For a subdivision $G'$ of a triangular grid graph $G$ without subdivided interior cell, we direct the edges of $G'$ as follows:

1. Direct all horizontal edges from left to right, and the edges forming $60^\circ$, $90^\circ$, or $120^\circ$ with a horizontal line from top to bottom. We refer to the obtained arcs as grid arcs.

2. Direct the edges located inside subdivided cells consistently with an orientation shown in Figure 4.8 that corresponds to one of the types in the property set of the cell. The obtained non-grid-arcs are called interior arcs.

If an orientation of a subdivision of $G'$ satisfies the two conditions above, then we say that the orientation is smart.

For example, for the subdivision of $K'$ shown in Figure 4.9, the property sets of the subdivided cells 123 and 567 are, respectively, \{SW, SE\} and \{NW, NE\}. Thus, referring to Figure 4.8, the orientations of edges for the cell 123 can be chosen to be of type $a$ or $b$, while for the cell 567 of type $A$
or $B$. In particular, the orientation shown in Figure 4.9 is smart, and this orientation can be checked by inspection to be semi-transitive.

![Figure 4.9](image)

Figure 4.9: The subdivision $K'$ of the graph $K$ and one of its semi-transitive orientations.

Note that a smart orientation may involve eight types of edges, that we call $a_1$, $a_2$, etc, $a_8$; see Figure 4.10.

![Figure 4.10](image)

Figure 4.10: Eight types of oriented edges.

There are a number of properties that any smart orientation satisfies. Three of these properties, that are easy to see, are listed below. We will be using them, sometimes implicitly by considering fewer subcases, in the proof of Lemma 4.4:

- No directed path can connect a vertex on a horizontal line to another vertex to the left of it on the same line.
- No directed path can get from a horizontal line to a higher horizontal line.
- The only situation in which a directed path can go down from a horizontal line and return back to it is when the upper interior arcs of type $c$ in Figure 4.8 are involved.

**Lemma 4.3.** No smart orientation of the boundary subdivision of a triangular grid graph can have a directed cycle.
Proof. It is straightforward to see that no directed cycle is possible on just grid arcs, that is, when no interior arc is involved. Thus, if a directed cycle $C$ exists, then it must involve an interior arc.

Further, note that if $e_1$ is an interior arc in $C$, then there must exist an interior arc $e_2$ that is located in the same cell as $e_1$, and $e_1$ and $e_2$ are next to each other in $C$. Without loss the generality, assume that $e_1 = x \rightarrow y$ and $e_2 = y \rightarrow z$. But then, looking at the six types of orientations of subdivided cells presented in Figure 4.8, we see that $e = x \rightarrow y$ is an arc in the oriented graph. Thus, we see that $C'$ obtained from $C$ by removing $e_1$ and $e_2$ and including $e$ is still a directed cycle. Continuing in this manner, we can eliminate all interior arcs and show that there exists a directed cycle containing only grid arcs, which is impossible.

Thus, $C$ cannot exist, that is, any smart orientation is acyclic.

Lemma 4.4. No smart orientation of the boundary subdivision of a triangular grid graph can contain a shortcut.

Proof. In a smart orientation, there are eight types of arcs shown in Figure 4.10, and we will prove that no arc $e = t \rightarrow h$ can be a shortcut. While dealing with smart orientations, sometimes it is convenient to pay attention to coordinates $(x_v, y_v)$ of a vertex $v$ coming from the definition of $T^\infty$. The coordinates allow for any two vertices to determine which one of them is to the left of the other one and/or higher than the other one.

![Figure 4.11: The case when the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_1$.](image)

Case 1. Suppose that the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_1$, as shown in Figure 4.11. If $a_1$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least 3 from 2 to 1 (this path does not involve $e$). Suppose that $P$ ends with $e'$. Then $e' = m \rightarrow 1$ can possibly be $3 \rightarrow 1$, $4 \rightarrow 1$, $5 \rightarrow 1$, $6 \rightarrow 1$, $7 \rightarrow 1$, $8 \rightarrow 1$, or $9 \rightarrow 1$ (of type $a_7$, $a_3$, $a_6$, $a_2$, $a_5$, or $a_8$, respectively). However, $e'$ cannot be $3 \rightarrow 1$, $4 \rightarrow 1$, $5 \rightarrow 1$, $6 \rightarrow 1$ or $7 \rightarrow 1$, because in each of these cases $x_m < x_2$ forcing $P$ to begin with an arc $e'' = 2 \rightarrow s$ of type $a_5$ or $a_8$ ($2 \rightarrow 7$ or $2 \rightarrow 10$ in Figure 4.11), which is impossible by the following reasons. The arc $2 \rightarrow 7$ is in orientation of type $a$ forcing $P$ be of length 2, contradiction, and the arc $2 \rightarrow 10$ is in orientation of
type $B$, so that $10$ would be a sink, contradiction. On the other hand, $e'$ is not $8 \rightarrow 1$ or $9 \rightarrow 1$, since the arc coming to $8$ must be of type $a_4$ forcing $P$ be of length $2$, contradiction, while the arc $9 \rightarrow 1$ is in orientation of type $b$, so that $9$ would be a source, contradiction. Thus, $e$ is not a shortcut in this case.

![Figure 4.12](image_url)

Figure 4.12: The case when the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_2$.

Case 2. Suppose that the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_2$, shown in Figure 4.12. If $a_2$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least $3$ from $2$ to $1$ (this path does not involve $e$). Suppose that $P$ begins with $e'$. Then $e' = 2 \rightarrow s$ can possibly be $2 \rightarrow 5, 2 \rightarrow 6, 2 \rightarrow 7, 2 \rightarrow 10, 2 \rightarrow 11, \text{ or } 2 \rightarrow 12$.

Subcase 2.1 If $P$ begins with $2 \rightarrow 5$, then $P$ must be different from $2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$. Moreover, since the path $2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$ is transitive, then $P$ must go through $3$ to $1$ (going to $14$ is not an option since $P$ would never be able to return to the horizontal line the vertex $1$ is on). But then the subdivision of the cell $14(14)$ has the orientation of type $c$, while $4 \rightarrow 1$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting with the definition of a smart orientation.

Subcase 2.2 If $P$ begins with $2 \rightarrow 6$, then the subdivision of the cell $127$ has the orientation of type $a$ or $c$. For the orientation of type $a$, $P$ is of length $2$, contradiction. For the orientation of type $c$, since the path $2 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$ is transitive, $P$ must go through $8$ to $1$. Thus the subdivision of the cell $17(13)$ has the orientation of type $A$, while $7 \rightarrow 1$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting with the definition of a smart orientation.

Subcase 2.3 If $P$ begins with $2 \rightarrow 7$, then $P$ must go through $8$ to $1$. Similarly with the discussion in Subcase 2.2, it contradicts with the definition of a smart orientation.

Subcase 2.4 If $P$ begins with $2 \rightarrow 10$, $P$ must go through the arc $4 \rightarrow 1$ or $4 \rightarrow 3$, while either of them indicates that $2 \rightarrow 4$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting the subdivision of the cell $24(15)$.
Subcase 2.5 If $P$ begins with $2 \to 11$, it indicates that the orientation of the subdivision of the cell $2(15)(16)$ is of type $B$. Thus the vertex $11$ is a sink, contradiction.

Subcase 2.6 If $P$ begins with $2 \to 12$, the subdivision of the cell $27(17)$ can possibly have the orientation of type $B$ or $C$. In the orientation of type $B$, the vertex $12$ is a sink, contradiction. Thus the orientation is of type $C$, and $P$ must go through the arc $7 \to 1$ or $7 \to 8$, while either of them indicates that $7 \to 1$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting the subdivision of the cell $27(17)$.

Thus, $e$ is not a shortcut in this case.

![Figure 4.13](image)

Figure 4.13: The case when the arc $e = 1 \to 2$ is of type $a_3$.

Case 3. Suppose that the arc $e = 1 \to 2$ is of type $a_3$, as shown in Figure 4.13. If $e$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least 3 from 1 to 2 (this path does not involve $e$). Suppose that $P$ begins with $e'$. Then $e' = 1 \to m$ can potentially be $1 \to 4$, $1 \to 5$, $1 \to 7$, $1 \to 8$, $1 \to 9$ or $1 \to 10$. However, $e'$ cannot be $1 \to 8$, $1 \to 9$ or $1 \to 10$, because in each of these cases $P$ is forced to go through the vertex lying on the horizontal grid line below the vertex 2, which is impossible by the properties of smart orientations listed above. Also, $e'$ cannot be $1 \to 7$, since $1 \to 7$ must be an arc in subdivided cell with orientation of type $B$ and therefore $7$ must be a sink, contradiction. If $e'$ is $1 \to 4$ or $1 \to 5$, then $P$ must be a path of length 2, contradiction. Thus, $e$ is not a shortcut in this case.

![Figure 4.14](image)

Figure 4.14: The case when the arc $e = 2 \to 1$ is of type $a_4$.

Case 4. Suppose that the arc $e = 2 \to 1$ is of type $a_4$, as shown in Figure 4.14. If $e$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least
3 from 2 to 1 (this path does not involve $e$). Then $P$ can possibly end with $3 \rightarrow 1$ or $4 \rightarrow 1$. There are two subcases:

Subcase 4.1 For ending with $3 \rightarrow 1$, the cell 234 has the orientation $B$, so $2 \rightarrow 3$ is a boundary edge. If $4 \rightarrow 3$ lies on $P$, then $5 \rightarrow 4$ and $2 \rightarrow 5$ must lie on $P$ (since the path $2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3$ is transitive and no path goes from right to left with Euclidean distance larger than 1). Hence the subdivided cell 249 has the orientation of type $a$ while $2 \rightarrow 4$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting the definition of a smart orientation. The arc $6 \rightarrow 3$ cannot lie on $P$, since existence of $6 \rightarrow 3$ implies vertex 6 is a source by the definition of a smart orientation. If $8 \rightarrow 3$ lies on $P$ then so does $4 \rightarrow 8$, hence the cell containing 8 has the orientation $c$, while $3 \rightarrow 4$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting the definition of a smart orientation.

Subcase 4.2 For ending with $4 \rightarrow 1$, since there is no directed path that goes from right to left with Euclidean distance larger than 1 in a smart orientation, both $5 \rightarrow 4$ and $2 \rightarrow 5$ must lie on $P$, and hence the subdivided cell containing vertex 5 has the orientation of type $a$, while $2 \rightarrow 4$ is not a boundary edge, contradicting the definition of a smart orientation.

Thus, $e$ is not a shortcut in this case.

![Figure 4.15](image)

**Figure 4.15:** The case when the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_5$.

Case 5. Suppose that the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_5$, as shown in Figure 4.15. If $e$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least 3 from 2 to 1 (this path does not involve $e$). Then $P$ must begin with the arc $2 \rightarrow 3$. However, there is no path going from right to left with Euclidean distance larger than 1, thus, $e$ is not a shortcut.

Case 6. Suppose that the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_6$, as shown in Figure 4.16. If $e$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least 3 from 2 to 1 (this path does not involve $e$). Then $P$ must begin with the arc $2 \rightarrow 3$. There are only two possibilities here: $P$ is either $2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$, or $2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$. In the former case, we do not have a shortcut, while in the later case there is a contradiction with
Figure 4.16: The case when the arc $e = 2 \rightarrow 1$ is of type $a_6$.

orientation of the cell 136, since the arc $3 \rightarrow 1$ is not boundary. Thus, $e$ is not a shortcut in this case.

Figure 4.17: The case when the arc $e = 1 \rightarrow 2$ is of type $a_7$.

Case 7. Suppose that the arc $e = 1 \rightarrow 2$ is of type $a_7$, shown in Figure 4.17. If $e$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least 3 from 1 to 2 (this path does not involve $e$). Now $P$ can possibly end with $3 \rightarrow 2$ or $4 \rightarrow 2$. Since the vertex 3 lies on a horizontal line that is higher than the horizontal line the vertex 1 lies on, the case of $3 \rightarrow 2$ is impossible. On the other hand, showing that the case of $4 \rightarrow 2$ is impossible is similar to our considerations in Case 5.

Figure 4.18: The case when the arc $e = 1 \rightarrow 2$ is of type $a_8$.

Case 8. Suppose that the arc $e = 1 \rightarrow 2$ is of type $a_8$, as shown in Figure 4.18. If $e$ is a shortcut, then there exists a directed path $P$ of length at least 3 from 1 to 2 (this path does not involve $e$). Now, $P$ can possibly end with $3 \rightarrow 2$ or $4 \rightarrow 2$. Both of these situations are impossible, showing which is similar to our considerations in Case 7.

We are done.
By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, any smart orientation of the boundary subdivision of a triangular grid graph is semi-transitive. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 is true by Theorem 2.2.

5 Applications of our main result

In this section, we consider two applications of Theorem 4.1. Namely, in Subsection 5.1 we discuss word-representability of subdivisions of triangular grid graphs having equilateral triangle shape, and in Subsection 5.2 we discuss word-representability of subdivisions of the Sierpiński gasket graph.

5.1 Subdivision of triangular grid graphs having equilateral triangle shape

Let $T_n$ be the triangular grid graph shown schematically in Figure 5.19. $T_n$ has equilateral triangle shape, and we say that $T_n$ has $n$ levels, that is, $n$ horizontal lines are involved in defining $T_n$.

![Figure 5.19: The triangular grid graph $T_n$ having equilateral triangle shape with $n$ levels.](image)

It follows from Theorem 4.1 that subdividing an interior cell in $T_n$ will result in a non-word-representable graph. Let $A_n$ be the graph obtained from $T_n$ by subdividing all of its boundary cells, that is, $A_n$ is the maximum subdivision of $T_n$. Again, by Theorem 4.1, $A_n$ is word-representable, and an example of a smart (semi-transitive) orientation is presented in Figure 5.20, where we also indicate types of orientations of cells used.

5.2 Subdivisions of the Sierpiński gasket graph

For the two-dimensional Sierpiński gasket graph $SG(n)$, by Theorem 4.1, we can obtain its maximum word-representable subdivision by subdividing all
of its boundary cells. Figure 5.21 shows the maximum word-representable subdivision of $SG(3)$ and one of its smart orientations.

$SG(n)$ can only have faces of degree $3 \cdot 2^k$, where $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, and the operation of subdivision of a (triangular) cell can be generalized to subdivision of other faces. One such generalization is inserting a new node inside a face and connecting it to all of the face’s nodes. Another possible generalization is subdividing a face into three parts by connecting a newly added node to the three corner nodes of a face (note that each face being a $3 \cdot 2^k$-cycle, looks like a triangle, and the corner nodes are the vertices of such a triangle).

We leave it as an open problem to study word-representability of the Sierpiński gasket graph when subdivision of faces of larger degrees is allowed.
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